

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/691,583	MCLACHLAN ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Travis M Reis	2859	

All Participants:
Status of Application: _____

 (1) Travis M Reis.

(3) _____.

 (2) Charles Dougherty.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 15 September 2004
Time: _____

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

2-13, 15-25

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner discussed the Declaration of Dr. Rossing and found it to be persuasive. Examiner discussed proposed amendment to add in the feature of "choosing a starting geometry in which the lower frequency modes to be tuned by shape optimisation were purely circumferential modes" to the independent claims 15, 24, & 25. Examiner discussed amending or canceling claim 13. Attorney of record will confer with applicants on best way to incorporate the above language into the claims.